Medical and magical texts in UET vol. IV

András Bácskay (PPKE Budapest)

The intended output of this paper is both the edition and the analysis of medical and magical texts whose hand-copies were published by Figulla seventy years ago in Ur Excavation Texts vol. IV.¹ This volume of the series UET includes mainly Neo-, and Late Babylonian administrative tablets excavated by L. Woolley during two seasons (1930-1931 and 1933-1934).² They originate from five archives excavated in private houses in the excavation area NH of Ur.³

This paper focuses on nine tablets published in UET IV, which have medical and magical content.⁴ The texts UET IV nos. 146-148 were identified as 'lists of drugs' and nos. 149-152 were designated as 'chemical goods' by Figulla, and his identification was supported later by Jursa.⁵ In fact, the tablets UET IV 146-148 are medical tablets listing various drugs and liquids (oil and honey) and the tablets UET IV 149-152 belong to the group of the so called 'inventory tablets' which comprise stones used for a string of amulet stones (*takṣīru*).⁶ However, I. Finkel already determined UET IV nos. 148-153 as a small group of medical tablets⁷ and the tablet UET IV 153 was mentioned being comparable to the medical tablet BAM 53 by Köcher.⁸ Furthermore, the tablets UET IV nos. 149-150 were identified recently as lists of amulets by Schuster-Brandis (see below).

The nine tablets have been kept in three different museums: the British Museum (UET IV nos. 146-148), the University of Pennsylvania Museum (UET IV nos. 149 and 178) and the Iraqi National Museum at Baghdad (UET IV nos. 150-153). The distribution of the tablets between the western museums and the Iraqi National Museum can be explained as common practice at that time according to the Antiquities Laws of Iraq implemented in 1924.

The tablets can be linked to different archives: three tablets (UET IV 146-148) belong to the archive of Sîn-uballit that holds 61 clay tablets found in a clay pot excavated in Room 15 of House 7. Three further tablets (UET IV nos. 150-152) originate from the Sîn-ilī archive, they were excavated in a room belonging to House 5. Regarding UET IV 178, according to a

¹ Figulla 1949. Single review that can be connected to the relevant tablets was written by Ebeling who also published the medical text UET IV 178 (Ebeling 1953). Cf. J. Fincke mentioning only a single published LB medical tablet (Fincke 2021, 243).

² Figulla 1949, 1. The excavation campaigns made by Woolley in Ur have been collected recently by Zettler who distinguished Woolley's field numbers between 12 excavation campaigns (Zettler, 2021, 14).

³ For the discussion of these archives, see Figulla 1949, 1-8, Pedersén 1998, 203-204; Jursa 2005, 133-137 and Beaulieu 2021.

⁴ Figulla identified only a single tablet with medical content (UET IV 153, 'medical recipes') and in his review E. Ebeling mentioned a further medical tablet (UET IV 178, 'Anonymous report concerning harvest workmen') which was identified erroneously by Figulla (Ebeling 1953, 143). Figulla's interpretation is probably based on Woolley's field card of the tablet which identifies this tablet as 'contract of late epoque'.

⁵ Jursa 2005, 135: 1044 (UET 4 150-152 are 'list of stones and gems') or 136:1056 (UET 4 146-148 are 'list of spices, condiments and aromatics').

⁶ For the discussion of this type of amulet stones, see Schuster-Brandis 2008, 189-191. Three UET IV inventory tablets (UET IV 149, 151 and 152) provide only the names of the stones without any reference to their use. ⁷ Finkel 2000, 143.

⁸ Köcher 1963 XVIII and later Maul 2019, 292.

⁹ For the archive of Sîn-uballit Pedersén 1998, 203-204 (Archive 3); Jursa 2005, 135-136; Cseke 2014, 557-558 and recently Beaulieu 2021, 153-159.

¹⁰ Pedersén 1998, 204 (Archive 5) and Jursa 2005,135.

field card written by Woolley, the tablet was: "brought in from SE mount of UR." 11 The archival context of the tablets UET IV nos. 149, 153 remains unknown to me. Both archives mentioned above include mainly administrative texts related to family business activities, and we can only suppose that the medical-magical tablets are also connected to the same family. However, there is no clue whatsoever that any member of the Sîn-uballit or Sîn-ilī families had ever been practicing as a physician $(as\hat{u})$ or as an incantation priest $(\bar{a}sipu)$ or $masmass\hat{u}$ and none of them is known to have worn such a title. On the other hand, the presence of medical and magical tablets among the business documents testify that the owner of the archives had an interest in collecting scholarly texts. This activity can be explained by two reasons. The owners of the archives were trained scribes, and they probably became involved in cuneiform scholarship for a time. In this case the tablets can be interpreted as relics of their former scribal training. We can identify possible scribal mistakes on the tablets which supports this assumption. On the other hand, medical and magical texts seem to be a representation of the traditional Babylonian cuneiform science which enjoyed great prestige among the urban elite. A good example is the archive of the Egibi that also includes several medical tablets. 12

Texts

UET 4, 146 (BM 131199; 1953,0411.34; U.17238,58;

photo https://www.britishmuseum.org/collection/image/1612992559)

Portrait format tablet, length: 1.75 inches (4,45 cm), width: 1.25 inches (3,17 cm), six lines on the obverse and seven lines of the reverse. It includes single prescription listing drugs (lines 1-11) and liquids (lines 12-13). No symptom description or technical instruction. The tablet is a duplicate of UET 4, 147.

```
obv.
```

1. 10 ^{šim}su-ma-da

2. 4 ^{šim}GI.DU₁₀.GA

3. 1 GÍN ^{šim}MUG sa-pan

4. $3^{?}$ GÍN < 5i > -bir-ti MUNU $5^{!}$

5. [x G]ÍN <*ši*>-*bir-ti ki-ba-* $^{\text{r}}$ *a-ta* $^{\text{r}}$

6. [2[?] NINDA]. ʿḤI.A`.MEŠ¹³ šimku-ʿku`-[ur[?]] rev.

7. $^{\circ}$ 2? NINDA $^{\circ}$ imbu $^{\circ}$ -ra- $[\check{s}\check{u}^{\circ}]$

8. '1 GÍN?' [^úNU.LUḤ].ḤA

9. [1 GÍN] [mun-du] [ka]-si-[a]

10. 5!? 'GÍN' ^{14 ú}er-ru-ú

11. 4 'GÍN' ^úNUMUN GADA

12. 2 NINDA.HI.A Ì diš-pi

13. 2 NINDA.HI.A Ì hal-şu

¹Ten (shekels?) of *suādu*-plant, ²four (shekels?) of sweet reed, ³one shekel of *ballukku*-soap plant, ⁴three shekels of lumps of malt, ⁵[x] shekel of lumps of *kibtu*-flour, ⁶[two? ninda] of

¹¹ I owe this information to Rosaura Cauchi who also explained me that Woolley used to write "brought in" followed by a region or place, for all the finds that were found outside the city wall and brought in by workers without any archaeological context.

¹² For edition and interpretation of these medical texts, see Simkó-Bácskay 2021.

¹³ Similar form on a Maqlû ritual tablet line 103: [Ú.HI.A].MEŠ (AMT 83, 1 obv. ii 17).

¹⁴ This line is mentioned in CAD as '4 x' (CAD I p. 182 sub *irrû* A mng. a)

kukru-plant, ⁷two ninda of juniper, ⁸one shekel of *nuḫurtu*-plant, ⁹one shekel of *mundu*-groat of *kasû*-plant, ¹⁰four shekels of *errû*-colocynth, ¹¹four shekels flax seed, ¹²two ninda of oil (or) honey, ¹³two ninda of pressed oil.

Commentary

line 1: *sumādu* is a by-form of *suādu* (CAD S p. 338).

line 3: The term ^{sim}MUG sa-pan drug appears in the list of drugs in further medical prescription preserved on Late Babylonian medical tablets (Finkel 2000, p. 154 Text 3 line 3 and p. 157 Text 5 line 4 and p. 163 Text 10 line 26 and p. 170 Text 16 line obv. 5'). The instance and its duplicate (UET 4 147) are cited in CAD S p. 158 sub sapannu mng. c as a plant from flatland but this interpretation seems incorrect. Köcher connects the term sapannu with Aramaic loan word s'pwn "soap" (Greek sapōn and Latin sapo) which was taken over by the Babylonian. ¹⁵ I followed Köcher's interpretation.

line 4: The term was interpreted in two different ways in CAD. Based on the meaning of the Akkadian term *pirtu* (hair) the CAD translated the term as 'awn' (CAD K p. 341 sub *kibtu*)¹⁶ but an alternate reading BIR-*ti* (fem. form of *sahpu* means 'scattered') was suggested in CAD S p. 164 sub *saphu*. I assumed there may be a scribal mistake here or a defective writing of the term. The medical term 'lumps of malt' is attested in several medical texts (like BAM 216 obv. 23' or BAM 52 rev. 1).¹⁷

line 5: For this line, see CAD K p. 341 sub kibtu.

line 6: The line was reconstructed based on its duplicate. Similar form for designation of the plural form can be attested on the summary line of a medical prescription against respiratory problem: [Ú.HI.A].MEŠ (BAM 555 obv. ii 17). However, the shape of the cuneiform sign MEŠ after HI.A seems unconventional and an alternate reading ME DÍLIM = 'one hundred spoon' can also be suggested as a measurement of drugs (I owe this suggestion to H. Stadhouders).

UET 4, 147 (Maqlû; 1953,0411.35; U.17238,57;

photo https://www.britishmuseum.org/collection/image/1613118902)

Landscape format tablet, length: 1.06 inches (2,69 cm), width: 1.5 inches (3,81 cm). ¹⁸ The tablet is a duplicate of UET 4, 148.

obv! (identified as rev. in UET 4)

- 1. 10 *sim su-ma-du
- 2. 4 GI. DU₁₀ GA
- 3. 1 GÍN ^{šim}MUG sa-pa-an
- 4. 4 GÍN $\langle \check{s}i \rangle$ -bir-ti MUNU₅!
- 5. 5 GÍN <*ši>-bir-ti ki-ba-a-ta* rev !
- 6. 2 NINDA.HI.A.MEŠ? šimku-ku-ur
- 7. 2 NINDA.HI.A šimbu-ra-šú
- 8. 1 GÍN ^úNU.LUH.HA
- 9. 1 GÍN *mun-du kà-a-* '*si*!-*a*!'
- 10. 5 GÍN *er-ru*(copy: KA)!-ú¹⁹

¹⁵ Finkel 2000, 140 and 154.

¹⁶ The reading *pir-ti* was criticised in in CAD S p. 164 sub *saphu*.

¹⁷ Edited in Bácskay 2018, 202, 208.

¹⁸ The measurement data of the length and width is replaced in the on-line catalogue of the British Museum.

¹⁹ Cf. 5 GÍN *ir-ru*(!)-ú (CAD I p. 182 sub *irrû* A mng. a).

11. 2 GÍN NUMUN GADA

lower edge

12. 2 NINDA.HI.A diš-pi

13. 2 NINDA.ḤI.A šam-ni ḥal[!]- ˈṣu[!] ¬

¹Ten (shekels?) of *suādu*-plant, ²five (shekels?) of sweet reed, ³one shekel of soap of *abbukat*-plant, ⁴four shekels of ... malt, ⁵five shekels of ... *kibtu*-flour, ⁶two ninda of *kukru*-plant, ⁷two ninda of juniper, ⁸one shekel of *nuhurtu*-plant, ⁹one shekel of *mundu*-groat of *kasû*-plant, ¹⁰five shekels of *errû*-colocynth, ¹¹two shekels flax seed, ¹²two ninda of honey, ¹³two ninda of pressed oil.

Commentary

Duplicate of UET 4, 146 with minimal orthographical differences (like *su-ma-da* in UET 4, 146 and ^{šim}*su-ma-du* in UET 4, 147 or *sa-pan* in UET 4, 146 and *sa-pa-an* in UET 4, 147).

line 5: This line was transliterated and translated in CAD K p. 341 sub kibtu mng. b1'.

line 6: This line was transliterated in CAD K p. 500 sub *kukru* mng a.

line rev. 6-7: Finkel mentioned these two lines as examples for detailed drug measurements.²⁰

UET 4, 148 (BM 131201; 1953,0411.36; U.17238,47;

photo https://www.britishmuseum.org/collection/image/1613118903)

Landscape format tablet, length: 1.75 inches (4,44 cm), width: 1.25 inches (3,17 cm).²¹ It contains a medical prescription inscribed in five lines on the obverse. The reverse remains uninscribed, maybe the text was not finished.

obv.

- 1. 2 NINDA Ú *a-ši-i*
- 2. 5 ÚKUŠ.HAB!
- 3. 1 NINDA DIDA SIG5-tim
- 4. 2 GÍN ^{šim}ḤAB
- 5. 1 GÍN²² ILLU ^{šim}BULUH

rev.

uninscribed

¹Two ninda of $a\check{s}\hat{u}$ -plant, ²five (shekels?)²³ of $err\hat{u}$ -colocynth, ³one ninda of 'good *billatu* beer', ⁴two shekels of $t\bar{u}ru$ plant, ⁵one shekel of baluhhu resin.

Commentary

line 1: Finkel mentioned this line as example for detailed drug measurement.²⁴

UET 4, 149 (UM 52-30-034, Penn Museum; photo CDLI P414845)

Landscape format inventory tablet listing ingredients of amulets against any evil (lines 1-2), *bennu*-epilepsy (line 3) and the demon Lamaštu (lines 4-7).

²⁰ Finkel 2000, 147:25.

²¹ The measurement data of length and width is mixed up in the online catalogue of the British Museum.

²² Cf. 2 GÍN (CAD B p. 45 sub baluhhu mng a2')

²³ The reconstruction is based on a similar phrase in UET IV, 146 line 10 and its duplicate UET IV 147 line 10.

²⁴ Finkel 2000, 147:25.

obv.

at least two lines were erased

```
1' ^{na4}SAG.GIL.MUD ^{na4}<mu\check{s}>-[\check{s}]a-ri ^{25} sa[\check{h}-\check{h}]u-^ru' 2' ^{na4}KÙ.BABBAR NA4 pa-ru-ti ^{na4}URUDU ^{na4}[a\check{s}p\hat{u}^?] 3' ^{na4}ZÁLAG ^{na4}HI.LI.BI (or NA4 hi-li-bi) ^{na4}EN.G[I.SA6]
```

rev.

- 4. ^{na4}su-u ^{na4}NÍG.BÙR.BÙR ^{na4}GUG GAZI^{sar}
- 5. $^{\text{na4}}\text{GI}\check{\text{S}}.\text{NU}_{11}.\text{GAL}$ $^{\text{na4}}\check{\text{S}}\text{UBA}(ZA.M\check{\text{U}}\check{\text{S}})$ $^{\text{na4}}\text{SAL.LA}$
- 6. na4PA na4BABBAR.DILI na4PEŠ4.ANŠE NA4 dLAMMA
- 7. ^{na4}ni-bu ^{na4}ŠU(text: SU) NÍTA u NA4 MUNUS

Obv.

 $^{1'}$ sangilmud-amethyst, muššaru-agate, saḥḫurû-stone, $^{2'}$ kaspu-silver stone, parûtu-alabaster, erû-copper stone, [ašpû-chalcedony, $^{3'}$ zalāqu-stone, ḫilibû-stone (or stone of the underworld?), engisû-stone.

Rev.

 4 \check{su} -stone, $palli\check{su}$ -drilling stone, $s\bar{a}mtu$ -carnelian, $kas\hat{u}$ -stone, 5 $a\check{s}nugallu$ -alabaster, $\check{s}ub\hat{u}$ -stone, SAL.LA-stone, 6 ayyartu-shell, $pappardil\hat{u}$ -one-white stone, $bi\dot{s}\dot{s}\bar{u}r$ $at\bar{a}ni$ -vulva of a donkey shell, $aban\ lamassi$ -rose jasper

Commentary

lines 1-2: The text was mentioned by Schuster-Brandis as a manuscript of Kette 179 (amulet against *mimma lemnu*). This amulet was also part of a *turru* binding (Schuster-Brandis Text 4, Band 5, lines 72'-73'). The reconstruction of the text in line 1 is based on parallels (we expect $^{\text{na4}}\text{MUŠ}.\text{GÍR}$). Cf. the unusual writing form of $\langle \check{s}i \rangle$ -bir-ti in UET IV 146 and 147. Parallels are preserved on several Assyrian and Babylonian tablets (for reference see Schuster-Brandis 2008, 153) but all of them are more-column tablets. The *saḥḥurû*-stone seems to be an equivalent to *saḥḥû*-stone.

line 3: The text was mentioned by Schuster-Brandis as a manuscript of Kette 116 (amulet against *bennu*). Supposedly, the first stone of the amulet list was written at the end of the previous line, but this part of the text is not preserved. Parallels are preserved on several Assyrian and Babylonian tablets (for reference see Schuster-Brandis 2008, 153) but all of them happen to be more-column tablets. One single exception is the Late Babylonian amulet list BM 42445 that also contains three different amulet lists without specifying separate items (line 1-10 = Kette 130, lines 11-14 = Kette 116, lines 15-17 = unknown).

lines 4-7: The text was edited in Farber 1989, 71-72 §16A as Ms. θ . It was also mentioned by Schuster-Brandis as a manuscript of Kette 143 (amulet against Lamaštu). ²⁸ The amulet was used in the 'stone ritual' of the canonical Lam. series (Lam. III. lines 30-32) edited by Farber 2014, 131 and 186-187 (for its duplicates, see Farber 1989, 71-72).

²⁵ We expect ^{na4}MUŠ.GÍR.

²⁶ Schuster-Brandis 2008, 153.

²⁷ Schuster-Brandis 2008, 131.

²⁸ Schuster-Brandis 2008, 145.

UET 4, 150 (U.20089.04, National Museum of Iraq)

Portrait format inventory tablet listing ingredients, amulets against hand of ghost and *šimmatu*-paralysis (line 1-10) and any evil and hand of ghost (lines 11-20). The text was already published in Schuster-Brandis 2008, Text 17 Ms. D.

obv.

- 1. [^{na4}ZA.GÌN ^{na4}GU]G ^{na4}NÍR ^{na4} aš -pú-u ^{na4}saḥ-ḥu-ú
- 2. [na4ŠUBA? na4]MUŠ.GÍR na4BABBAR.DILI na4BABBAR.MIN₅ na4ZÚ SIG₇
- 3. [na4šadânu DA]B na4kur-gar-ra-nu na4DÚR.MI.NA na4MIN(=DÚR.MI.NA).BÀN.DA
- 4. [na4Z]Ú.GE₆ na4mu-sa na4AN.ZAĤ na4MIN(=AN.ZAĤ) BABBAR na4MIN(=AN.ZAĤ) GE₆
- 5. NA₄ MUŠ 'na⁴'PA na⁴GIŠ.NU₁₁.GAL na⁴AŠ.GÌ.GÌ na⁴ÚGU.AŠ.GÌ.GÌ
- 6. $^{\rm na4}$ ŠU.U NÍTA MUNUS $^{\rm na4}a$ - $l\acute{a}$ - lu_4 $^{\rm na4}$ ZÁLAG $^{\rm na4}$ KÙ.BABBAR $^{\rm na4}$ KÙ.GI
- 7. ^{na4}URUDU ^{na4}AN.NA ^{na4}ALGAMEŠ NA₄ ŠURUN ^dGU₄
- 8. KA tam-tim ^{na4}SAL.LA PEŠ₁₀.dÍD UŠ₁₁.dÍD
- 9. ^{na4}IM.MA.NA ^{na4}NÍG.BÙR.BÙR ^{na4}sa-a-bu
- 10 41 NA₄.MEŠ ŠU GEDIM.MA *šim-mat* ŠU^{II} GÌR^{II}

rev.

- 11. ^{na4}GUG ^{na4}ZA.GÌN ^{na4}BABBAR.DILI ^{na4}BABBAR.MIN₅ ^{na4}saḥ-ḥu-ú
- 12. ^{na4}aš-pú-u ^{na4}mu-ṣa ^{na4}ZÁLAG ^{na4}KUR-nu DAB ʿNA₄ʾ [ḤI.?LI.?BI?]
- 13. na4EN.GI.SA₆ na4ŠIM.BI.ZI.DA ^r na4IGI .ZÀG.GÁ
- 14. 'na4' KÙ.BABBAR na4KÙ.GI na4NÍR na4an-nu na4NÍR.ZIZ
- 15. ^{na4}AN.ZAḤ ^{na4}MIN(=AN.ZAḤ) BABBAR ^{na4}MIN(=AN.ZAḤ) GE₆ NA₄ MUŠ.GÍR
- 16. NA₄ dLAMMA ^{na4}ZÚ.LUM ^{na4}ŠUBA ^{na4}àb-aš-mu
- 17. ^{na4}GIŠ.NU₁₁.GAL ^{na4}PA ^{na4}ni-ba ^{na4}URUDU ša NÍTA
- 18. na4SAG.DU na4DÚR.MI.NA na4MIN(=DÚR.MI.NA).BÀN.DA na4AŠ.GÌ.GÌ
- 19. [na4ŠUBA SIG7 3]4 NA4.MEŠ 'mim'-ma lem-nu u ŠU GEDIM.MA
- 20. [...] 'x'-šú GAR-an

21. [...]

Obv.

¹ [uqnû-lapis lazuli], sāmtu-carnelian, hulālu-glass, ašpû-chalcedony, saḥhû-stone, ² šubû-stone, muššaru-agate, pappardilû-one-white stone, papparmīnu-stone, şurru arqu-yellow obsidian, ³ [šadânu ṣābitu-magnetite], kurgarrânu-stone, turminû-breccia, turminabandû-breccia, ⁴ şurru ṣalmu-black obsidian, mūṣu-stone (gravel?), anzaḥhu-frit-like glass, huluḥhu-light coloured frit, kutpû-black frit ⁵ aban ṣerri-snake stone, ayyartu-shell, ašnugallu-alabaster, ašgigû-green stone, agusīgu-green stone, ²⁹ ⁶ šû zikar u sinniš-male and female šû-stone, alallu-stone, ³⁰ zalāqu-stone, kaspu-silver stone, hurāṣu-gold stone, ⁷ erû-copper stone, annaku-tin stone, algamešu-steatite, kabūt Šēriš-ox-dung stone, ⁸ imbu tâmti-mineral, SAL.LA-stone, kibrītu-sulphur, ⁹ immanakku-mineral (stone or sand), pallišu-drilling stone, sābu-red stone ¹⁰ 41 stones for hand of ghost, paralysis-numbness of hands (and) feet.

Rev.

¹¹ sāmtu-carnelian, uqnû-lapis lazuli, pappardilû-one-white stone, papparmīnu-stone, saḫḫû-stone, ¹² ašpû-chalcedony, mūṣu-stone (gravel?), zalāqu-stone, šadânu ṣābitu-magnetite,

²⁹ CAD A I 163b also in Schuster-Brandis 2008, 450.

³⁰ George 2016 60 slab, made of a stone thought to have the property of improving communication between god and man and thus making prayer more effective.

[ħilibû-stone] ¹³ engisû-stone, guħlu-kohl stone, egizangû-stone, ¹⁴ kaspu-silver stone, ħurāṣu -gold stone, an-nu-stone, sāsu-stone ¹⁵ anzaħħu-frit-like glass, ħuluħħu-light coloured frit, kutpû-black frit, muššaru-agate ¹⁶ aban lamassi-rose jasper, aban suluppī-date stone, šubû-stone, abašmû-stone ¹⁷ ašnugallu-alabaster, ayyartu-shell, nibu-shell or snail, erû ša zikari-male copper stone, ¹⁸ qaqqadu-head stone, turminû-breccia, turminabandû-breccia, ašnugallu-alabaster, šubû arqu-yellow stone, 34 stones for any evil and hand of ghost.

Commentary

lines 1-10: The text was mentioned by Schuster-Brandis as a manuscript of Kette 138.³¹ lines 11-20: The text was mentioned by Schuster-Brandis as a manuscript of Kette 137.³²

UET 4, 151 (U.20089.06 National Museum of Iraq)

Portrait format inventory tablet listing ingredients of amulets, but sequences and related diseases remain unknown to me.

obv.

- 1. ^{na4}DÚR.MI.NA
- 2. ^{na4}*mu-şa*
- 3.^{na4}ZÚ.BABBAR
- 4. 2 ^{na4}URUDU.NÍTA
- 5. 2 ^{na4}AN.BAR
- 6. na4IGI.ZÀG.GÁ
- 7. ^{na4}EN.GI.SA₆
- 8. 2 ^{na4}BABBAR!.DILI
- 9. na4IGI. KU₆
- 10. NA₄ GÍR.TAB
- 11. NA₄ MUD

rev.

12.^{na4}AN.NA

13.^{na4}ZA.GÌN

14 ^{na4}KÙ.BABBAR

15.^{na4}KÙ.GI

16. na4GUG

Obv.

¹ *turminû*-breccia, ² *mūṣu*-stone ³ *ṣurru peṣû*-white obsidian, ⁴ 2 *erû zikkaru*-male copper stones, ⁵ 2 *parzillu*-iron stones ⁶ *egizangû*-stone, ⁿ *engisû*-stone, ՞ 2 *pappardilû*-one-white stones, ⁰ *īn nūni*-fish eye stone ¹¹ *aban zuqaqīpi*-scorpion stone ¹¹ *aban dāmi* blood stone Rev.

¹² annaku-tin stone, ¹³ uqnû-lapis lazuli, ¹⁴ kaspu-silver stone, ¹⁵ hurāṣu-gold stone, ¹⁶ sāmtu-carnelian.

Commentary

Finkel mentioned this tablet as a comparable parallel to the amulet list BM 42445 (Finkel Lambert 200, 183-184, Text 25).

³¹ Schuster-Brandis 2008, 142.

³² Schuster-Brandis 2008, 142.

UET 4, 152 (U.2089.07 National Museum of Iraq)

Portrait format inventory tablet listing ingredients of amulets, but sequences and related diseases remain unknown to me.

obv.

- 1. 3 ^{na4}MUŠ.GÍR
- 2. 2 na4SAG.GIL.MUD
- 3. 3 ^{na4}ZA.GÌN
- 4. 3 na4GUG
- 5. 4 ^{na4}BABBAR.DILI
- 6. 1 NA₄ TU
- 7. 1 ^{na4}PEŠ₄!(copy: ŠÀ) A.AB.BA
- 8. 2 ^{na4}ŠU.U NÍTA
- 9. 2 ^{na4}ŠU.U MUNUS
- 10. 1 na4 ZÁLAG

lower edge

11. '1?' na4'GIŠ'.[NU₁₁.GAL]

rev.

- 12. 1 $^{\text{na4}}\dot{a}b$ - $^{\text{r}}a\check{s}$ - $^{\text{r}}$ - $^{\text{r}}$
- 13. 1 'na4KUR'-nu DAB
- 14. 3^{na4} x [x x?]
- 15. $1^{\text{na4}} Z[\dot{U}? x x?]$

Obv.

 1 3 *muššaru*-agate stones 2 2 *sangilmud*-amethyst stones, 3 3 *uqnû*-lapis lazuli stones, 4 3 *sāmtu*-carnelian stones, 5 4 *pappardilû*-one-white stones, 6 1 TU stone, 33 7 1 *išqillat tâmti*-seashore pebble, 8 2 *šû zikar*-male *šû* stones, 9 2 *šû sinniš*-female *šû* stone, 10 1 *zalāqu*-stone Lower edge

¹¹ 1 *ašnugallu*-alabaster,

Rev.

¹² 1 *abašmû*-stone, ¹³ 1 *šadânu ṣābitu*-magnetite, ¹⁴ 3 X ¹⁵ 1 *şurru*?-obsidian? stone?

Commentary

Finkel mentioned this tablet as comparable parallel to the amulet list BM 42445 (Finkel Lambert 200, 183-184 Text 25).

line 12: This line was mentioned in CAD A/1 p. 39 sub abašmû mng. b.

UET 4, 153 (National Museum of Iraq)

Portrait format tablet, list of drugs followed by a prescription against afflictions (like fever, 'blast of the wind', paralysis or 'all diseases') caused by curse.³⁴

ohv

- 1. šimGÚR.GÚR šimGAM.MA šimGIG
- 2. PEŠ₁₀.dÍD ÚH.dÍD
- 3. A.GAR.GAR MAŠ.DÀ šimBULUH
- 4. *qí-lip* ZÚ.LUM.MA

³³ Schuster-Brandis 2008, 449-450.

³⁴ For medical prescriptions against curse, see Maul 2019, 9, 23 and 277-312. Cf. Bácskay forthcoming.

- 5. LAGAB! MUNU₅! GAZI^{sar} 'saḥ'-le-e ^úSUMUN!.DAR!
- 6. Ú.KUR.RA úúr-nu-ʿuʾ ʿʿuʾ ḤUR.SAG úzi-bu-ʿuʾ
- 7. ^úḤAR.ḤAR ^úNU.LUḤ.ḤA[!] ^úsib-bir-ri[!] ^úDÚR. NU.LUḤ.ḤA

lower edge

- 8. ^{úr}kam-ka-du ^úx x x x x ^x
- 9. u? 'x x x' [x x Ú?] *šam-ši*

rev.

- 10. gišŠE.N[Á.A? x x $^{\circ}$ IGI-li]m
- 11. "IGI-20 "x" [x x x] "x" "MAŠ.TAB.BA
- 12. ^úLAG.A.ŠÀ 'ZÚ.LUM.MA.DILMUN'^{ki} MUN
- 13. PAP 1 ŠU 3 Ú¹.[HI.A² mar-ha-s]u SUMUN šá hi-mit UD¹.DA¹
- 14. ši-[biṭ TU₁₅ x x šimma/rimû]-tu kal ša[!]
- 15.[murşi x x x ŠU?.GEDI]M?.MA 'x x?' GIG ša! DIB
- 16 [x x x *ina* A.GEŠT]IN.NA KALAG.GA! ŠEG₆ 'x' upper edge
- 17. 'ŠUB tu-kàṣ'-ṣa ½ SÌLA Ì.GIŠ
- 18. ana <<ana>> IGI ŠUB-di ana DÚR DUB-ma DIN-uṭ

¹*Kukru*-plant, *ṣumlalû* plant, *kanaktu*-plant, ²*kibrītu*-sulphur, *ru'tītu*-sulphur, ³gazelle dung, *baluḥḥu*-plant, ⁴date peel,

⁵malt, $kas\hat{u}$ -plant, $sahl\hat{u}$ -plant, šumuttu-plant. $^6n\bar{\imath}n\hat{u}$ plant, $urn\hat{u}$ -plant, $azup\bar{\imath}ru$ -plant, black cumin, $^7has\hat{u}$ -plant, nuhurtu-plant, sibbirru-plant, $t\bar{\imath}jatu$ -plant, 8kamkadu -plant, ...-plant, $^9...$ [plant] of Shamash, $^{10}sun\hat{u}$ tree, [... imhur-li]m-plant, $^{11}imhur$ - $esr\bar{a}$ -plant, ... plant, ...[...], $m\bar{a}stu$ plant, 12 field-clod' plant, Dilmun-date, salt.

¹³Together 63 plants. Tested (lit. old) lotion for *himit ṣēti* disease, ¹⁴ blast of wind', [... paralys]is (and) all ¹⁵[diseases ...hand of gh]ost? ... disease which afflicts (him) ¹⁵[...] ... you boil (it/them) in strong vinegar, ¹⁶put ¹⁵... (into it) ¹⁶cool (it), ¹⁷put ¹⁶half litre of oil ¹⁷onto it (and) pour (it) into (his) anus and he will get better.

Commentary

The prescription is similar to BAM 168 lines 7-17 and BAM 52 lines 24-38 and BAM 53 lines 1-6.³⁵

lines 1-3: Despite the ruling, these lines seem to be a part of the same prescription.

line 7: This line was mentioned in CAD S p. 230 sub sibbirru.

line 13: Similar summary line in BAM 52 line 35 (PAP 1 ŠU 3 Ú.ḤI.[A]) and BAM 168 line 16 (PAP 1 *šu-ši* 3 Ú.ḤI.A) According to the summary in line, the total number of the drugs is 63. In fact, the number of drugs is less (circa 28 or 30). Similar discrepancy of the total number can also be attested in the relevant prescription of BAM 168.³⁶

line 15: The reconstruction of the broken and fragmentary parts of this line are quite hypothetical. I would like to suggest that this part of the text belongs to the catalogue of diseases but the signs before GIG remain obscure to me.

³⁵ Edited in Maul 2019, 293 and 296.

³⁶ Maul 2019, 298 note to lines 7-17.

UET 4, 178 (U.15049; UM 52-30-044, University of Pennsylvania Museum, photo CDLI P414874)

Landscape format tablet, it contains single medical text that was edited by Ebeling (1953) in OLZ 48 p. 143).

obv.

- 1. DIŠ NA ú-ru-'-us-su nu-up-pu-uh
- 2. 1 NINDA saḥ-lé-e 'BÍL'.MEŠ a-na ŠEN.TUR ŠUB'-ma
- 3. ina UGU DÈ GAR 3-šú ib-ba-lak-kit-ma 'x x?'
- 4. ZÍD.GIG ZÍD NUMUN GADA ZÍD šimMUG
- 5. ZÍD ^úSULLIM^{sar} pa-ni-šú ina ŠEN.TUR
- 6. 'ŠUB'-ma Ì.GIŠ ina ŠEN.TUR ana UGU 'ŠUB'-ma
- 7. $[ina^? dikm\bar{e}ni^? \check{S}]EG_6$ -ma ba-ah-ra $[GU_7^?]$

lower edge

8. $[x \ x? \ \acute{u}-r]u-'-us-su$

rev.

9. [x x x] x 'x' EN *i-nu-uh-hu*

10. [*ta*[?]-*ta*]*r*[?] NA [*iballut*])

11. 'AL.TIL'

¹If a man's windpipe is swollen, ²you put 1 ninda roasted *saḫlû*-plants into a *tangussu*-pot and ³put it over charcoal, make them interpenetrate three times and ⁵Previously, ⁶you put ⁴wheat flour, flour of flax seed, flour of *abukkatu*-plant, ⁵šambaliltu-plant into a *tangussu*-pot ⁶and put oil onto (them) in the *tangussu*-pot and ⁷co[ok (it) on charcoal] then [he eats it] hot. ⁸[...] his windpipe ⁹[is] ... ¹⁰Repeat (it) ⁹until he will be appeased (and) a man [will recover]. End of the section.

Commentary

line 1: This line is mentioned in CAD N/1 p. 268 sub $nap\bar{a}hu$ mng. 7b and CAD U p. 268 sub ur'udu mng. 1a 2'.

line 3: I interpreted this line as a part of description of technical instruction to prepare a concoction (*ina muḥḥi pēnti tašakkan*) but the CAD prefers a different reading (*ina* UGU IZI.GAR = he steps over the torch three times)³⁷ suggesting that it is a description of a magic ritual using a torch. I would like to suggest that the verb *ibbalakkit* "to interpenetrate (said of parts of a mixture" (Sg/3 Present form of *nabalkutu*) refers to the boiling procedure of the medicine but I do not know any parallel passage. The fragmentary last sign or signs at the end of the line remain obscure to me.

line 7: The reconstruction of the line is based on the assumption that *baḥra* is adverbially used here, as a predicative complement (see CAD B p. 28 *baḥra*). I suppose that the applying of the medicine was written in the broken part of line.

line 9-10: These lines are mentioned in CAD N/1 p. 147 sub *nâḫu* A mng. 2d. The fragmentary beginning of line 9 remains obscure to me but the CAD U suppose that it is a same medical problem as in line 9.

³⁷ CAD N/1 p. 12 sub *nabalkutu* mng. 1a.

Bibliography

Bácskay, András forthcoming. Review of Maul, Stefan M. 2019: Bannlösung (nam-érim-búr-ru-da), *Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenlandes*.

Beaulieu, Paul-Alain 2021. The City of Ur and the Neo-Babylonian Empire, in Grant Frame, Joshua Jeffers and Holly Pittman (eds.), *Ur in the Twenty-First Century CE. Proceedings of the 62nd Rencontre Assyriologique Internationale at Philadelphia, July 11–15, 2016, University Park, USA: Penn State University Press, 153-170.*

Cseke, Henrietta 2014. The Economic Determination of the Changing Interests. A Survey Based on the Loan Documents of the Neo-Babylonian Sîn-uballit Archiv, in Zóltan Csabai (ed.): Studies in Economic and Social History of the Ancient Near East in Memory of Péter Vargyas, Ancient Near Eastern and Mediterranean Studies 2, Pécs: Budapest, 557-578.

Ebeling, Erich 1953. Review to Figulla, H. H., Ph. D.: Ur Excavations Texts. IV. Business Documents of the New-Babylonian Period. London: The British Museum; Philadelphia: The Univ. Museum 1949, *Orientalistiche Literaturzeitung* 48, 138-143.

Farber, Walter 1989. Schlaf, Kindchen, Schlaf! Mesopotamische Baby-Beschwörungen und - Rituale, Mesopotamian Civilizations 2, Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns.

Farber, Walter 2014. Lamaštu: An Edition of the Canonical Series of Lamashtu Incantations and Rituals and Related Texts from the Second and First Millennia B.C., Mesopotamian Civilizations 17, Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns.

Figulla, Hugo, H.1949. *Ur Excavations Texts. IV. Business Documents of the New-Babylonian Period*, London: The British Museum; Philadelphia: The Univ. Museum.

Fincke, Jeanette C. 2021. Search of Ur in *iqqur īpuš*, in Grant Frame, Joshua Jeffers and Holly Pittman (eds.), *Ur in the Twenty-First Century CE: Proceedings of the 62nd Rencontre Assyriologique Internationale at Philadelphia, July 11–15, 2016*, Penn State University Press, 233-244.

Finkel, Irving L. 2000. On Late Babylonian Medical Training, in Andrew R. George, Irving L. Finkel (eds.), *Wisdom, Gods and Literature. Studies in Assyriology in Honour of W. G. Lambert*, Eisenbrauns: Winona Lake, 137-223.

George, Andrew R. 2016. Mesopotamian Incantations and Related Texts in the Schøyen Collection, Cornell University Studies in Assyriology and Sumerology 32, Bethesda (Maryland): CDL Press.

Jursa, **Michael 2005**. *Neo-Babylonian Legal and Administrative Documents*, Guides to the Mesopotamian Textual Record 1, Ugarit: Münster.

Köcher, Franz 1963. *Die babylonisch-assyrische Medizin in Texten und Untersuchungen. Band I. Keilschrifttexte aus Assur 1*, de Gruyter: Berlin.

Maul, Stefan M. 2019. Bannlösung (nam-érim-búr-ru-da). Die Therapie eines auf eidliche Falschaussage zurückgeführten Leidens, Keilschrifttexte aus Assur literarischen Inhalts 10, Harrassowitz: Wiesbaden.

Pedersén, Olaf: Archives and Libraries in the Ancient Near East 1500-300, Bethesda: CDL, 1998.

Simkó, Krisztián – Bácskay, András 2021. Medical Tablets from the Archive of the Egibi Family? An Edition of BM 30198 and BM 31071, *Iraq* 83, 1-21.

Schuster-Brandis, Anais 2012. Steine als Schutz- und Heilmittel. Untersuchung zu ihrer Verwendung in der Beschwörungskunst Mesopotamiens im 1. Jt. v. Chr, Alter Orient und Altes Testament 46, Münster.

Zettler, Richard Z. 2021. Woolley's Excavations at Ur: New Perspectives from Artifact Inventories, Field Records, and Archival Documentation, in Grant Frame, Joshua Jeffers and Holly Pittman (eds.): *Ur in the Twenty-First Century CE. Proceedings of the 62nd Rencontre Assyriologique Internationale at Philadelphia, July 11–15, 2016*, University Park, USA: Penn State University Press, 7-34.