# Medical Glosses and Embedded Variants. Addenda and Corrigenda to Bácskay, A.: Glosses and Embedded Variants in Therapeutic Texts. (DUBSAR 22) Münster, Zaphon 2021.

# András Bácskay Pázmány Péter Catholic University

My work on glosses in Mesopotamian medical texts and amulet stone lists was published in 2021. Since then, I have identified additional glosses in further medical texts and new publications Mesopotamian medicine also mention glosses not discussed in my earlier work, or refer to glosses I had previously published. The aim of this study is therefore to present newly found medical glosses and to collect references to glosses that have been published in the Assyriological literature in the recent period. I first present the new medical glosses, then discuss their characteristics. I also summarize and discuss glosses and embedded variants preserved on tablets of the Nineveh Medical Encyclopaedia; finally I present the references to glosses in two recent volumes of the BAM series (BAM 10 and BAM 11).

#### **The Newest Medical Glosses**

In my earlier volume, I published 147 glosses and embedded variants, of which 135 were in medical texts and 6 were in amulet lists. In the following chapter, I present 16 glosses and embedded variants which are preserved on 12 medical tablets. Some of these glosses are found in previously published medical texts (nos. 111, 112, 113, 119, 120), and I published a medical text with a gloss in 2023 (no. 121). Some of the new glosses are found in unpublished medical tablets, which I have identified using the electronic Babylonian Library project's database of cuneiform tablets (nos. 113, 122, 123, 124). The numbering of the new glosses continues the numbering of the glosses in my book (the last gloss in my book is no. 110b). The table below provides a summary of the new glosses and embedded variants.

no.	Museum number	Origin	Publication	Lines	Reference	Functional type
111.	BAM	Aššur, Kiṣir-	Parys 2014, 23	v 42	tu- <sup>la</sup> lab-bak	pronunciation
	159	Aššur library	and 35		_	
112.	BAM	Aššur, Kişir-	Scurlock,	iii 17'	<sup>ú</sup> A.ZAL <sup>sal</sup> .LÁ	phps. variant
	161	Aššur library	2005, 624 no.			
			305			
113.	BAM	Nineveh,	Scurlock 2014,	iii 48'	dUTU: dXXX	variant
	503	Assurbanipal's	376 and 384			
		library (EARS				
		3)				
114.	BAM	Nineveh,	Simkó 2024a	iii 12'	「MUN <sup>1</sup> eme-sal-lì [:] MUN kù-	variant

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I am grateful to Annie Attia and Mark J. Geller, the editors of JMC for his comments and suggestions. All remaining errors are mine.

	471+	Assurbanipal's library (Neck 2)	plate 10		pad	
115.	BAM 578+	Nineveh, Assurbanipal's library (STOMACH 3)	Johnson - Simkó 2024, 172	i 57	ILLU <sub>pa<sup>r</sup>x<sup>1</sup></sub> .TAR	phps. variant
116.	BAM 578+	Nineveh, Assurbanipal's library (STOMACH 3)	Johnson - Simkó 2024, 203	iv 38	IM.SAḤAR.NA4.KUR.RA IM.SAḤAR.BABBAR.KUR.RA	variant
117.	BAM 578+	Nineveh, Assurbanipal's library (STOMACH 3)	Johnson - Simkó 2024, 204	iv 41	<sup>ti</sup> IGI-20 (eras.)	phps. variant
118.	AMT 1/6	Nineveh, Assurbanipal's library	Johnson - Simkó 2024, 44-45	1'	TX X X <sup>1</sup> TIL TX <sup>1</sup>	uncertain
119.	BM 78434	Sippar collection	CT 44 36	rev. 4.	ka <sup>he-pi</sup>	ђері
120.	SpTU 2 no. 50	Uruk	Scurlock 2014, 363 and 364 and Geller - Panayotov 2020,103	rev. 8	Ì.SAG <sup>bal-şa</sup>	variant
121.	BM 55516+	Babylon or Sippar	Bácskay 2023, 157 and 160	obv. 6'	'(gab)('?)'(-)bi IM	variant
113.	BM 41373	Babylon collection	unpublished	i 7'	<sup>d</sup> XXX: <sub>d.XV</sub>	variant
113.	BM 41373	Babylon collection	unpublished	i 10'	[UD]. '7 <sup>(?</sup> )'. KAM <sub>'UD'.</sub>	variant
122.	K 15236	Nineveh, Assurbanipal's library	unpublished	5'	9.KAM : UD.15.KAM káş-şa-te : Ì <sup>81m</sup> LI	variant
123.	Sm. 440	Nineveh, Assurbanipal's library	unpublished	4'	[] : []	uncertain
124.	BM 41289	Babylon collection	unpublished	ii 13'	BU[RUs.HA]BRUD <sup>ru</sup> .DA	pronunciation

Table 1: Main data of new the glosses and embedded variants

In what follows, I present the glosses in each text, using a structure similar to the one used in my book, including the transcription and translation of the relevant medical text, as well as the formal and functional characteristics of the glosses discussed. In the transcription text, the glosses and the glossed terms are presented in bold.

# No 111 BAM 159 v 37-47 // BAM 579 iv 1-11 (SUALU 5)

BAM 159 v 37.  $^{giš}e$ -re-nu  $^{giš}$ ŠUR.MÌN  $^{giš}$  $d\acute{a}p$ -ra-nu  $^{sim}$ GÍR ŠIM.SAL BAM 579 iv 1.  $^{giš}e$ -re-nu  $^{giš}$ ŠUR.MÌN  $^{giš}$  $d\acute{a}p$ -ra-nu  $^{giš}a$ -sa ŠIM.SAL

BAM 159 v 38. GI.DU $_{10}$ .GA  $^{\pm im}$ BAL ŠIM.  $^{d}$ MAŠ  $^{\pm im}$ MAN.DU  $^{u}$ KUR.KUR BAM 579 iv 1-2 GI.DU $_{10}$ .GA  $/^{\pm im}$ BAL ŠIM.  $^{d}$ MAŠ  $^{\pm im}$ EN.DI

BAM 159 v 39. <sup>šim</sup>GÚR.GÚR <sup>šim</sup>LI <sup>šim</sup>ŠE.LI.BABBAR <sup>šim</sup>GAM.MA

BAM 579 iv 2 \*simGÚR.GÚR \*simLI \*simGAM. MA1

BAM 159 v 40. <sup>ú</sup>ḤAR.ḤAR <sup>ú</sup>si-ḫu <sup>giš</sup>ár-gan-nu <sup>giš</sup>LUM.ḤA NAGA.SI BAM 579 iv 3 <sup>ú</sup>KUR.KUR <sup>šim</sup>ŠE.LI.BABBAR <sup>(ú</sup>ḤAR.ḤAR <sup>ú</sup>si-ḫa <sup>giš</sup>LUM.ḤA NAG[A.SI]

BAM 159 v 41.  $^{\circ}$ NU.LUḤ.ḤA MUN sah-lé-e GAZI  $^{sar}$  23 Ú.ḤÁ ŠEŠ BAM 579 iv 4  $^{\circ}$ NU. LUḤ.ḤA  $^{1}$  19  $^{\circ}$ Ú.ḤÁ  $^{\circ}$ ŠEŠ

BAM 159 v 42. 1/3 SÌLA TA.ÀM TI-qé ina KAŠ tu- $^{la}lab$ -bak ina  $GE_6$  BAM 579 iv 4-5 ma-la si-bu-te-ka T[I-q]é / ina  $^{r}$ KAŠ  $^{r}$  [tu-lab-bak in]a  $GE_6$ 

BAM 159 v 43. ana IGI  $^{\text{mul}}$ ÙZ tuš-bat ina še-rì ŠEG<sub>6</sub>-šal ta-šá-hal BAM 579 iv 5-6 ana IGI  $^{\text{mul}}$ ÙZ t[uš-ba]t / ina š[e-rì] ŠEG<sub>6</sub>-šal ta-š[á-h]al

BAM 159 v 44. ḤÉNBUR ʿÚKUŠ.ḤAB' Ú.[ḤI]. ʿA'.MEŠ SÚD KI 1 SÌLA LÀL BAM 579 iv 7-9 ir- $r[i \times x \times x] \times$ Ú.ḤÁ u Ì.GIŠ  $^{\&im}$ EN.DI t[a-qàl]-lu / ma- $l[a <math>\lesssim i$ -bu-te-ka TI]- $q\acute{e}$  ina KAŠ &ina ŠIM.ḤÁ ʿina IZI ŠEG $_6$ .GA / ma- $l[a <math>\lesssim i$ -bu-te-ka t]u-mah-ha-ah 10 GÍN LÀL

BAM 159 v 45. u 1 SÌLA Ì.GIŠ 「GAZ ana KUŠ maš-qí-te te-sip BAM 579 iv 9-10 1/3 SÌLA Ì ḥal-ṣa ana ŠÀ GAZ / ana KU[Š maš-qí-te] te-si-ip

BAM 159 v 46. *ana* DÚR-*šú* DUB-*ak maš-qí-tu ša* ANŠE.KUR.RA BAM 579 iv 11 *ana* DÚR-*š*[*ú* DUB-*a*]*k* SI.*SÁ-ma* TI

BAM 159 v 47. ša DIŠ-en ANŠE.KUR.RA 4 SÌLA KAŠ SAG ba-áš-lu

Cedar, cypress, daprānu-juniper, asu-myrtle, šimišallû-aromatic plant, / sweet reed, ballukku-aromatic plant, nikiptu-spurge, suādu-aromatic plant, atā'išu-plant / kukru-aromatic plant, burāšu-juniper, white juniper seeds, ṣumlalû-aromatic plant / ḫašû-thyme, sīḫu-wormwood, argannu-conifer, barīrātu-sagapenum, horned uḫūlu-alkali / nuḫurtu-plant, salt, saḥlû-cress, kasû-tamarind / you take 1/3 litre / from each of these 23 drugs, / you soften them in beer, / you leave them out / for the night / under the Goat-star, in the morning you bring them to boil, you filter them, (and then) you pound sprout of irrû-colocynth (var. you roast of irrû-colocynth ...) (and) drugs (prepared before) together with 1 litre of dišpu-syrup / and 11 litre of pressed (var. filtered) oil (and) you skim it off into a leather (bag) for potions, / you pour it into his anus (var. you pour it into his anus, (and) he will void; then he will recover). Potion for the horse(s). / Four litres of boiled fine beer.<sup>2</sup>

Formal typology: normal script gloss without Glossenkeil

Functional typology: pronunciation gloss (partial)

Glossed term: verb (tu-lalab-bak)

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> I followed the English translation of Johnson and Simkó with minimal additions.

Commentary: This gloss belongs to the group of the so-called partial pronunciation glosses which are usually connected to CVC syllabic signs of an Akkadian term. The partial pronunciation glosses are CV or VC syllabic signs and they are linked usually to the first or the ultimate CVC syllabic sign of the Akkadian term orienting the reader to the relevant syllabic reading of the CVC sign. This type of gloss is related mostly to technical verbs in the medical texts; in my former book I collected three references: no. 9 (Bácskay 2021, 41-42), no. 24 (Bácskay 2021, 58-59), and no. 45 (Bácskay 2021, 80-81). It is important to note that the glossed term appears elsewhere in the text without any gloss (e.g. *tu-lab-bak* in line ii 47), which raises the possibility that one gloss may be enough in a text.

Literature: Scurlock 2014, 364-367 (transliteration and English translation), Parys 2014, 23 and 35 (§68) (transliteration and French translation), Johnson – Simkó 2024, 245-246 (transliteration and English translation), eBL (transliteration and English translation)

#### No. 112. BAM 161 iii 16'-18'

16'. <sup>ú</sup>LAL NUMUN <sup>ˈgiš</sup> [bi]-ni NUMUN <sup>giš</sup>MA.NU 17'. <sup>ú</sup>IGI-lim <sup>ú</sup>A.**ZAL**<sup>sal</sup>.LÁ

18'. 5 Ú ŠU.GIDIM.MA ina KAŠ NAG

*ašqulālu-plant*, tamarisk seed, seed of *ēru-*tree, / "heals-a-thousand"-plant, *azallû-*plant. / Five plants for "hand of ghost". He drinks (them) in beer.

Formal typology: normal script gloss without Glossenkeil

Functional typology: perhaps variant. Glossed term: drug name (<sup>ú</sup>A.ZAL<sup>sal</sup>.LÁ)

Commentary: Although similar logographic ( ${}^{\acute{u}}$ A.SAL.L $\acute{A}$ ) or Akkadian form ( ${}^{\acute{u}}$ a-sal-l $\acute{a}$ ) of the plant name is not known to me, the gloss may refer to a phonetic variant of the Akkadian plant name. The gloss may have originated from another manuscript of the prescription or may refer to an alternate pronunciation of the plant name that differs from the usual Akkadian form. It should be noted that among the references collected in my previous work there are also glosses that provide the Akkadian equivalent of a logogram representing a plant name (e.g.  ${}^{gis}$ NAM.TAR  ${}_{pi-li-i}$  Bácskay 2021, 82-83 no. 47) or present a variant of a syllabic sign of an Akkadian plant name (Bácskay 2021, 55-56 no. 21).

Literature: Scurlock, 2006, 624 no. 305 (transliteration and English translation)

# No. 113. BM 41373 i 7'-10' // BAM+ 503 iii 48'-51' // K 15236 1'-2'

BAM 503+ $_{(iii\ 48')}$  DIŠ NA GEŠTU ZAG- $\check{s}\acute{u}$  ina KÚM  $^{'}x^{'}$  [... NA.BI as]-rat  $^{d}$ UTU :

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For the discussion of this type of glosses, see Bácskay 2021, 152, 164-165.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The glossed text space is represented in CAD (CAD A/2 p. 5224, which displays the gloss in a similar way to Akkadian syllabic signs, with a final (*sal*). In her publication, Scurlock capitalises the gloss (SAL) but she does not give any explanation.

BM 41373<sub>i. 7'</sub> [...] 'd'UTU u d**XXX** : d.XV lu KIN-ma

BAM 503+<sub>(iii 49'</sub> DUG<sub>4</sub>.GA *u* GIŠ.TUKU GAR [... MUN E]ME-sal-lim ina Ì+GIŠ

**ŠUR.MÌN** 

BM 41373<sub>i. 8'-9'</sub> [...] MUN EME-sal-lim / [...]

BAM 503+(iii 50' 'Ì.GIŠ šimGIG' 'SÚD?' [sígÀKA NIGIN-mi ana ŠÀ GEŠTU ZAG GAR-

an Ì.B]ÚR<sup>6</sup> el-lam SAG.DU-šú ŠUB-di

BM 41373<sub>i 9'-10'</sub> [...] GAR-an Ì.BUR el-la / [...]

K 15236 1' [...] 'x x'

BAM  $503+_{iii}$  51' [...  $U_4-x-K$ ]ÁM GUR.GUR-*šum-ma* TI

BM 41373<sub>i 10'</sub> [... UD]. '7<sup>?</sup>' .KAM 'UD' .-9.KAM : UD.15.KAM GUR.GUR-šum-ma TI

K 15236 2' [... GUR]. GUR-šu¹-[ma] TI¹

If a man's right ear [...] due to fever, this man shall find the shrine of Šamaš: Sîn (var.: Sîn :  $_{I\bar{s}tar}$  and then tell (his problem and) hear (the divine answer) [...] you pound *emesallu*-salt in cypress oil, *kanaktu*-aromatic oil [you wrap it in a tuft (and) you place it into his right ear] (Then) you pour pure  $p\bar{u}ru$ -oil over his head and [...]. You do this continually for [x] days (var.: for seven days : nine days : fifteen days) and he will recover.

Formal typology: embedded variant with Glossenkeil

Functional typology: variant

Glossed term: deity name (shrine of Šamaš : Sîn and shrine of Sîn : Ištar), duration of treatment (for seven days : nine days : fifteen days)

Commentary: The embedded variants obviously provide different text variants. Different deities may be associated with different diseases, however, ear problems are usually related to the attack of the ghost. In my previous work, I identified two glosses related to divine agents of diseases (nos. 14 and 43).

Formal typology: subscript gloss without Glossenkeil

Functional typology: variant

Glossed term: duration of the treatment (seven days nine days: fifteen days)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In the edition of BAM 503 iii 48' Scurlock already mentions that in a text variant Igigi is related to Sîn (Scurlock 2014, 376: "d*f-gì-gì*); however, she refers the relevant lines of BM 41373 as a parallel text (Scurlock 2014, 718) of BAM 503 which seems inappropriate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The reconstruction of this line is based on the next prescription (BM 41373 i 11'-15' and its parallel BAM 503+ iii lines 52-54).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The canonical Diagnostic omen series also associates certain diseases with specific deities or demons. Among them can be found the patient's personal god, Adad, Gula, Ištar, Marduk, Ninurta, Nergal, Šamaš, Sîn, and Šulpae. Of these, Ištar is mentioned most often, in 73 omens, followed by Šamaš in 42 omens (Heeßel 2000, 49-54).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Scurlock 2006, 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> For discussion of these glosses, see Bácskay 2021, 46-47, 79 and 166.

Commentary: The gloss was written with normal-sized cuneiform signs on a blank line<sup>10</sup> and also includes an embedded variant. It could represent other textual variants of the prescription or alternatives to the treatment. It is important to note that semantic variants regarding the duration of treatment are also known from other medical texts (nos. 1 and 5).<sup>11</sup>

No. 114. BAM 471+ iii 10'-12' // BAM 472 obv. 4'-7' // BAM 469 obv. 38'-42' // Rm 116 (AMT 33, 3 (2)) obv.  $10-12^{12}$ 

BAM 471+ iii 10' [DIŠ K]IMIN 「PIŠ 10. dÍD hKUR.KUR I [... g]ul-gul LÚ.U 18.LU BAM 472+ 4' [... NAM.LÚ].U 18.LU

Rm 116 obv. 10 [... SI DARÀ.MA]Š ŠIKA gul-gul LÚ.U19.LU

BAM 469+ obv. 38' DIŠ KIMIN PIŠ10. dĺD uKUR.KUR ŠIM. dMAŠ SI DARÀ.MAŠ ŠIKA gul- $g[ul \dots]$ 

BAM 471+ iii 11' [IL]LU LI.D[UR ...  $^{giš}\acute{a}r$ -g]a-na  $^{giš}$ LUM.HA BAM 472+ 4'-5' ILLU **LI.TAR**  ${\{\bar{s}im\}BULUH}$ [...] / [...  $^{giš}\acute{a}r$ -ga-n]a  $^{\acute{u}}ba$ -ri-rat Rm 116 obv. 10-11 ILLU LI.TAR / [...  $^{giš}ba$ -r]i-ra-ta5 BAM 469+ obv. 39'-40' ILLU LI.DUR Ì.UDU ÉLLAG GU $_4$  GE $_6$  šá GÙB  $^{giš}$ si-ha  $^{g}$ [ $^{iš}$ x x x] /  $^{giš}ba$ -ri-ta5

BAM 471+ iii 12'' $^{\text{A}}$ .GAR.GAR MAŠ.DÀ UZU M[AŠ.DÀ ...] **MUN** *eme-sal-lì* [:] MUN (kù-pad)  $^{\text{PAP}}$  14 $^{\text{I}}$  [x x x q]u-ta-ru šá ŠU.GIDIM.MA

BAM 472+ 5'-7' A.GAR.GAR MAŠ.DÀ UZU MAŠ.DÀ [...] / [. . . S]I 「DARÀ¹.MAŠ 14 Ú.MEŠ [...] / [ŠU.GIDIM.M]A 「: ÉN dup-pir lem-nu¹ [ÉN m]u-šal-lim É.KUR ana UGU ŠID-nu [x x x]

Rm 116 obv. 11-12 A.GAR.GAR MAŠ.DÀ UZU MAŠ.DÀ / [... qu-ta-r]u  $š\acute{a}$  ŠU.GIDIM.MA BAM 469+ obv. 40′-42′ A.GAR.GAR MAŠ.DÀ UZ[U x x x] / UZU NAM.LÚ.U<sub>18</sub>.LU MUN eme-sal- $l\grave{i}$ : M[UN x x] / PAP 14 U<sub>2</sub>.HI.A qu-ta-ri  $š\acute{a}$  Š[U.GIDIM.MA]

If ditto, *kibrītu*-sulphur, *atā'išu*-plant, [*nikiptu*-plant, stag horn,] fragment of a human skull, / resin from *abukkatu*-tree *baluḥḥu*-aromatic plant, fat from the left kidney of a black ox, *sīḥu*-tree, *argannu*-tree, *barīrātu*-tree, / gazelle droppings, gazelle meat, human flesh (and) *emesallu*-salt *kupad*-salt -altogether fourteen drugs for a fumigation against 'hand-of-ghost'.

Formal typology: subscript gloss with Glossenkeil

Functional typology: variant

Glossed term: drug name (emesallu-salt kupad-salt)

<sup>10</sup> For similar type of gloss, see BAM 264 ii 11'-12' (Bácskay 2021, no. 74), BAM 237 i 40'-41' (Bácskay 2021, no. 86).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> For discussion of these glosses, see Bácskay 2021, 35, 38-39, 166 and 172.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Here, I edited only the tablets from Nineveh, for edition of all parallels see, Bácskay 2021, 132-134 (no. 91). The small fragment (K 20143) with the gloss as a join to BAM 471 was identified by Simkó (Simkó 11/01/2023), the photo of the tablet with the join was published in Simkó 2024a plate 11. I am grateful to Krisztián Simkó, who brought this glossary to my attention during his work on the NinMed project.

Commentary: The gloss provides an alternate type of salt which may originate from another manuscript of the prescription. The unique importance of this gloss is that the same gloss (in the form of embedded variant) is also preserved on a parallel (BAM 469 obv. 38'-42') of this prescription (for discussion of this gloss, see below). Unfortunately, this part of the text is not preserved on another manuscript of this medical series (Rm 116 obv. 10-12).

#### No 115. BAM 578+ i 57

57. ILLU pa 'x¹ [x?] 「LI¹.TAR Ú BABBAR U5 ARGAB<sup>mušen ú</sup> $a[k-tam \times \times \times ^{\S i}]^{(m)}$ 「BULUḤ¹ x x x [ina KAŠ NA]G-ma BURU $_8$ 

Resin (gloss: . . . ) from *abukkatu* (a tree), *šammu peṣû* ('white plant'), *rikibti arkabi* ('bat guano'), *aktam* (a plant) . . . *baluḥḥu* ('ferula gummosa') . . he drinks (*išattī-ma*) in beer, and then he will vomit (*i'arru*).<sup>13</sup>

Formal typology: subscript gloss without Glossenkeil

Functional typology: perhaps variant

Glossed term: drug name (Resin (gloss: . . . ) from abukkatu)

Commentary: According to Johnson and Simkó, the gloss is supposed to contain a part of an *abukkatu*-plant, which is an alternative to the juice of the plant, but the meaning of the gloss is uncertain due to the fragmentary nature of the term. <sup>14</sup> The first sign of the gloss on the tablet in question is certainly PA or GIŠ, and the following reading of the subsequent fragmentary sign fragments is perhaps PA<sup>rsim1</sup>[BULUḤ] = "branch of *baluḥhu-aromatic* plant". My suggestion is based on another gloss associated with the juice of the *abukkatu*-plant (Bácskay 2021, 132-134 no. 91).

Literature: Johnson-Simkó 2024, 172 (transliteration and English translation)

#### No 116. BAM 578+ iv 38

38. DIŠ NA MIN **IM.SAḤAR.NA4.KUR.RA**  $_{\text{IM.SAḤAR.BABBAR.KUR.RA}}$  IM.SAḤAR.GE<sub>6</sub>.KUR.RA DIŠ- $ni\check{s}$  ina KAŠ tara-SUD  $^{\dagger}tu$ -zak $^{\dagger}$ - $k[a \times \times \times \times \times]$ 

If someone DITTO (= is seized by aḥḥāzu-jaundice): you steep (tarassan) aban gabî ('alum') (gloss: alluḥaru) (and) qitmu (a black mineral) together in beer, you strain them, (and then) ...<sup>15</sup>

Formal typology: subscript gloss without Glossenkeil

Functional typology: variant

Glossed term: drug name ('alum' alluḥaru)

Commentary: The gloss provides an alternative drug for alum. It presumably quotes the text of another manuscript of a medical procedure or refers to an alternative drug for use in treatment. Glosses relating to stones and minerals are rare in medical texts, and my earlier

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> I followed the transliteration and English translation of Johnson-Simkó 2024, 172.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Johson-Simkó 2024, 172 fn. 481.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> I followed the transliteration and English translation of Johnson-Simkó 2024, 203.

work includes only five such references. <sup>16</sup> One of them perhaps contains a similar gloss: IM. <sup>1gab?1-bi?</sup> MIN SAHAR.NA<sub>4</sub>.KUR.RA (Bácskay 2021, 91-92 no. 55).

Literature: Scurlock 2014, 517 and 527 (transliteration and English translation but she did not refer to the gloss), Johnson-Simkó 2024, 203 (transliteration and English translation).

#### No 117. BAM 578+ iv 41

41. NUMUN  $g^{i\bar{s}}bi$ -ni SÚD ina Ì u KAŠ NAG SUḤUŠ  $g^{i\bar{s}}$ šu-ši ina Ì u KAŠ NAG  ${}^{\acute{u}}$ IGI-20  ${}_{(eras.)}$   ${}^{f}$ SÚD ${}^{1}$  in ${}^{f}$ a KAŠ NA ${}^{g}$ G. ${}^{f}$ MEŠ ${}^{1}$ 

You pound seed from  $b\bar{\imath}nu$  ('tamarisk') (and) he drinks it in oil and beer. He drinks root from  $s\bar{\imath}usu$  ('liquorice') in oil and beer. You pound  $imhur-esr\bar{\imath}a$  ('faces twenty' plant), (and) he keeps drinking (istanatti) it in beer.

Formal typology: subscript

Functional typology: uncertain perhaps variant

Glossed term: perhaps drug name (erased)

Commentary: The gloss is not preserved but supposedly it provides an alternate for *imhur-ešrā*. <sup>17</sup> In my previous work I have a fragmentary gloss related to this plant (Bácskay 2021, 55 no. 21 line 1 = Johnson-Simkó 2024 STO 1 line 98) and an embedded variant ( $^{\acute{u}}$ *im-hur-ešrā*:  $^{\acute{u}}$ IGI-*lim* Bácskay 2021, 122-123 no. 85).

Literature: Scurlock 2014, 517 and 527 (transliteration and English translation but she did not refer to the gloss), Johnson-Simkó 2024, 204 (transliteration and English translation)

## No. 118. AMT 1/6 line 1'

1' [...] 'x x x <sup>1</sup> <sub>TIL</sub> 'x <sup>1</sup> 'ana ŠÀ <sup>1</sup> D[UB ...]

... complete/finished? ... you pour ... in (the mixture) ... 18

Formal typology: subscript Functional typology: uncertain

glossed term: -

Commentary: The gloss seems to be a scribal note which refers to the end of a section of the text but its context is not entirely clear.<sup>19</sup>

Literature: Johnson – Simkó 2024, 44-45 (copy, transliteration and English translation)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Bácskay 2021, 161 (nos. 55, 75, 84, 86, 87).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> The gloss was intentionally erased (Johnson – Simkó 2024, 204 fn. 569).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> I followed the transliteration and English translation of Johnson and Simkó.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> For further reference to this term in medical text, see BAM 482 (CRANIUM 2) iv 50': [AL<sup>2</sup>].TIL = finished (in the colophon); BAM 543 (TEETH 2) iv 57': AL.TIL = finished (in the colophon); SpTU no. 18 obv. 18: AL.TIL = finished (as a gloss); K 2542+ (Schuster-Brandis 2008 Text 17 Ms.A) iv 26: NU ALTIL = not finished (in the colophon, edited in (Schuster-Brandis 2008, 192-193); Tsukimoto tablet (Tsukimoto 1999; Schwemer 2010 41-45; Scurlock 2014, 417-421) obv. 36: : TIL : = finished (last line of the text); BM 78963 (Stadhouders – Johnson 2018) line 86: NU AL.TIL = not finished (in the colophon). BAM 401 rev. 34: NU AL.TIL = not finished (in the colophon).

#### No. 119. CT 44, 36 obv. 10- rev. 6.

oby

10 [a]-'na' i-ba-ri-'im' hul-lu-qí <sup>ú</sup>pe-en-zí-ir

- 11. PA [gi]šÍLDAG 'tu-bal ta'-sàk ina A ta-la-aš
- 12. [x] an GIG U<sub>4</sub>.3. KAM 'ta'-ṣa-mid ina U<sub>4</sub>.4. KAM  $DU_8$ -s[u]
- 1.[G]A.RAŠ<sup>sar</sup> tu-šab-šal LAL-ma ina U<sub>4</sub>.5<sup>!</sup>.KAM ri-ib-ki LAL
- 2. ina U<sub>4</sub>.6.KAM LU.ÚB<sup>sar</sup> ta-ṣa-mid šum-ma la in-na-a-ah
- 3. ILLU ba-lu-hi DUH.ŠE.GIŠ.Ì tu-bal ZÍD ŠE.SA.A
- 4. ZÍD ŠE.MUŠ<sub>5</sub> ZÍD GIG ka<sup>he-pí</sup> šur-šu-mi KAŠ
- 5. ŠE<sub>10</sub> TU<sup>mušen</sup> TÉŠ.BI TI-qí ina GA HI.HI ta-ḫaš-<šal> ina KAŠ HI.HI
- 6. LÁL-ma TI.LA

In order to remove *ibāru-lesion*, you dry and pound pinzir-plant / <sup>11</sup>leaf of *adāru*-tree, knead (them) in water, / ... tie (it) on for three days. On (the) fourth day untie him (and) / boil leek. Bind (it) on, and on the fifth day bandage (him) with (this) concoction. / On the sixth day you bandage (him) with turnip. If (the disease) will not come to rest, / you dry *baluḫḫu*-resin (and) sesame bran (then) take together flour of parched grain, <sup>16</sup>*šigūšu*-flour, *kibtu*-flour, ka<sup>broken</sup> beer dregs, <sup>17</sup>dove dung', mix (them) in milk, you crush (and) mix (them) in beer, bind (it) on and he will recover.

Formal typology: subscript gloss Functional typology: *hepi* gloss Glossed term: drug (ka<sup>broken</sup>)

Commentary: The gloss relates to a medical substance which was perhaps partially broken on the original tablet. The scribe recognised only the initial sign of the word and he was unable or unwilling to complete it. The colophon on the tablet suggests that the text was copied by an apprentice scribe, and the lack of practice is also attested by scribal errors elsewhere in the tablet.<sup>20</sup> Due to the lack of a determinative, the medicinal substance with the broken gloss may have been the logogram of *imbū tâmti* (algae), a common herb in medical texts (KA A.AB.BA). It should be noted that similar passages, i.e. referring to the corrupted part of the term with the gloss *hepi*, also occur on other medical tablets written by a skilled practitioner.<sup>21</sup>

#### No. 120. SpTU 2/50 rev. 8

8. DIŠ NA  $IGI^{II}$ - $\dot{s}\dot{u}$  LÙ.LÙ- $\dot{h}a$  LÀL Ì.NUN.NA **Ì.SAG**  $\dot{h}al$ - $\dot{s}a$  DIŠ- $ni\ddot{s}$  KÚM.KÚM-am ta- $\dot{s}a$ - $\dot{h}al$  ana  $IGI^{II}$ - $\dot{s}\dot{u}$  ŠUB

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Due to the poor condition of the original tablet from which CT 44 36 had been copied several errors are attested in the text (e.g., obv. 4, 6 and 8, and perhaps also in obv. 17).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> In my previous work I discussed two similar types of *hepi* glosses, each related to a technical term related to the digestion of a medicine (Bácskay 2021, 15-16). BAM 579, one of the manuscripts of the STOMACH series, whose *hepi* glosses in some of the recipes in the first column may refer to missing herbs in the text (BAM 579 i 55 and 56-58 (Johnson-Simkó 2024, 235).

If a person's eyes are cloudy: you heat up with each other honey, butter (and) filtered fine oil, (then) you filter (and) pour (it) onto his eyes.

Formal typology: superscript without Glossenkeil

Functional typology: perhaps variant Glossed term: liquid (fine oil filtered)

Commentary: A fine oil ( $\hat{I}.SAG = \check{s}aman \ r\bar{u}\check{s}ti$ ) written above hal-şa probably refers to the term šamnu halşu (filtered oil), which is often found in medical texts and may be originated from another manuscript of the prescription or used as an alternative liquid in the treatment. The latter interpretation may be strengthened by the fact that fine oil, produced by cold pressing, may have been among the most expensive types of oil, and thus the practitioner who copied the treatment phps. offered an alternative. However, Panayotov and Geller suggest that the gloss does not refer to any alternative, but it belongs to the normal text which was simply written between the two lines for lack of space. This explanation is supported by the absence of Glossenkeil before the gloss and the fact that the text of the line runs out onto the edge of the plate. It should be noted that among the textual references I have previously collected, I have identified a total of 20 oil-related glosses and embedded variants. Most of these references related to cedar oil as an alternative to sesame oil or ghee. <sup>22</sup>

Literature: von Weiher, 1983, 195-196 (transliteration and English translation), Scurlock 2014, 361-364 (transliteration and English translation), Geller-Panayotov 2020, 103, 150, 158 (transliteration and English translation), eBL (transliteration and English translation)

#### No. 121. BM 55516+ obv. 4'-8'<sup>23</sup>

BM 55516+ obv. 4 「DIй NA KÚM U<sub>4</sub> 1-KAM DAB-su U<sub>4</sub> 1-KAM BAR-š[ú IM PA<sub>5</sub> TI-<sup>r</sup>qí <sup>1</sup> ina A.PA<sub>5</sub> HI.HI]

BAM 148 obv. 34-35 [...] U<sub>4</sub>-1-<sup>r</sup>KÁM DAB-su<sup>1</sup> MIN U<sub>4</sub>-1-KÁM BAR-šú IM P[A<sub>5</sub>] / [ina] 「A.PA<sub>5</sub>)<sup>1</sup> HI.HI

[DIŠ] NA! KÚM U<sub>4</sub> 1-KAM DAB-su-ma U<sub>4</sub> 1-KAM BAR-šu 「IM<sup>1</sup> PA<sub>5</sub> CTN 4 150 rev. 1 TI-<sup>r</sup>qi<sup>1</sup> ina A.P[A<sub>5</sub>HI.HI]

SU LÚ. GIG tu-kap-par záp-pi ANŠE šá [15 záp-pi ANŠE šá šumēlu] BM 55516+ obv. 5 BAM 148 obv. záp-pi MUNUS.ANŠE šá <sup>15</sup> záp-pi MUNUS.ANŠE šá šumēlu

CTN 4 150 rev. 2 <sup>r</sup>záp<sup>1</sup>-pi ANŠE 15 záp-pi ANŠE.MUNUS GÙB šá 150

「KI]  ${}^{{}_{\bf I}g\acute{a}b(?)-b}{}^{{}_{\bf I}i}$  IM  $\check{s}\acute{u}$ -a- $t\acute{u}$  ḤI.ḤI  ${}^{{}_{\rm I}na4}$ KIŠIB DÙ- $u\check{s}$  ÍB.T[AK $_4$ ]  $z\acute{a}p$ - $p[i\dots]$ BM 55516+ obv. 6 [....  $\check{s}$ ]u-a- $tu_4$  HI.[HI]  $^{\text{na4}}$ KIŠIB  $^{\text{r}}$ D $\grave{\mathsf{U}}$ ]- $u\check{s}$   $\check{\mathsf{IB}}$ . $\mathsf{T}$ [ $\mathsf{AK}_4$  ...] /  $[\mathsf{D}\grave{\mathsf{U}}^?.\mathsf{D}\grave{\mathsf{U}}^?.\mathsf{B}$ I $^?$ BAM 148 (obv. 36-37)

ana] UGU <sup>na4</sup>KIŠIB MÚNŠ[UB ...]

KI IM  $\check{s}u$ -a- $tu_4$  HI.[HI] / [ $^{n}$ ] $^{a4}$ KIŠIB  $^{r}$ DÙ $^{1}$ - $u\check{s}$  ÍB.TAK $_4$   $z\acute{a}p$ -p[i] [ $\check{s}$ ]u-a-CTN 4 150 rev.

tum] UGU <sup>na4</sup>KIŠIB MÚN[ŠUB ...]

<sup>22</sup> Bácskay 2021, 162 and 171.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Edited in Bácskay 2018: 148 and 153 as No.51 Ms.NA28 lines 34-40. The text edition in this paper was corrected by suggestions of Marten Stol in his review (Stol 2018: 348).

If one day the fever seized him (and) on another day left him, you mix canal clay in canal water, / (and) wipe the ill man's body. (Take) hair from the right (part) of a donkey (var. a female donkey), hair from the left (part) of a donkey (var. a female donkey), mix (them) in that clay alum (and) make a cylinder seal, [put] the remaining animal hair on the cylinder seal, the hair [...]

Formal typology: subscript gloss without Glosseinkeil

Functional typology: variant

Glossed term: material of a cylinder seal using as an amulet (alum clay)

Commentary: the gloss offers an alternative to the material of a cylinder seal inscribed with a Lamaštu incantation and used as a magic amulet.<sup>24</sup> The gloss may be originated from another manuscript of the procedure.

Literature: Bácskay 2023, 157 and 160.

```
No. 122. K 15236 line 5'-6'<sup>25</sup>
5' [...] <sup>r</sup>x¹ káṣ²-ṣa-te : Ì <sup>šim</sup>LI
6' [... U<sub>4</sub>.7.KÁM GUR.G]UR-šu-ma TI
```

[...] cold ... : oil of *burāšu-juniper* / [...] You do this continually [for seven days] and he shall recover.

Formal typology: embedded variant Functional typology: perhaps variant

Glossed term: liquid (cold ... : oil of *burāšu*-juniper)

Commentary: The reading  $k\acute{a}$  space as an unusual form of ka space (fem. plural form of ka space) is quite hypothetical and I do not know of any reference.

Literature: unpublished (transcription on eBL).

```
No. 123. Sm. 440 lines 1'-5'
```

```
1' [...] 'DUGUD<sup>?</sup>¹-「šú<sup>?</sup>¹「x¹ [...]
2' [... i]k-ki-ik [...]
3' [...] 「ú¹ gan na [...]
[...] : [...]
```

```
4' [...] <sup>r</sup>x<sup>1</sup> n[a<sup>?</sup> ...]
5' [...] <sup>r</sup>x<sup>1</sup> [...]
```

[...] it is burdensome to him [...] / [...] he scratches [...] / [...] ... (gloss [...]) [...]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> For clay carved cylindrical amulets see Finkel 2018 and Bácskay – Niederreiter 2022.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Small fragment (right edge) with NA script, based on parallel passages in BAM 503 iii 48'-58' it contains medical prescriptions against ear problems. Cf. no. 113 above.

Formal typology: interlinear gloss Functional typology: unknown

Glossed term: unknown

Commentary: Only the *Glossenkeil* is preserved which was written on the ruling. Due to the poor condition of the fragment the exact context of the gloss is not clear but may be it is connected to the term  ${}^{\Gamma}\dot{u}^{\Gamma}$  gan na which can be interpreted as D stem of an Akkadian verb  $kan\hat{u}$  ( $\dot{u}$ -kan-na) or as a logographic form of the  $buk\bar{a}nu$ -plant ( ${}^{\dot{u}}GAN.NA$ ) using the determinative of plant names instead of the usual determinative of tree names. The later interpretation can be confirmed a medical prescription against kidney problems (BAM 7 no. 13 lines 4'-5') which mentions the syllabic form of this drug name using the determinative of plant names ( ${}^{\dot{u}}bu$ -ka-nu).  ${}^{26}$ 

Literature: unpublished (transcription on eBL).

### No 124. BM 41289 ii 13' // BAM 503+ iv 19 // AO 6774 (Geller (JMC 14) iii 18'-19')

BM 41289 ii 13'  $BU[RU_s.HA]BRUD^{ru}.DA$  NÍTA SAG.DU-su TAR-i[s]  $^rM\dot{U}D^{?1}.^rME^{?1r}ka?^1-[...]$ 

BAM 503+ iv19. BURUs.HABRUD.DA NITA SAG.DU-s[u ...]

AO 6774 iii 18'-19' BURU<sub>5</sub>. ḤABRUD.DA<sup>mušen</sup> NÍTA SAG.DU-su [tanakkis] / MÚD.MEŠ-su KÚM-ti ana ŠÀ GEŠTU $^{II}$ -su tu-[nat]

You cut the head of male *iṣṣūr hurri* bird and drip (its) hot blood into his ear.

Formal typology: gloss with normal script followed by the glossed term

Functional typology: pronunciation gloss (partial) or

Glossed term: BU[RU5.HA]BRUD<sup>ru</sup>.DA

Commentary: The gloss supposedly provides help for pronunciation of the logogram HABRUD. An Akkadian translation gloss related to the logogram BURUs.HABRUD.DA is also attested on AO 11447 (Geller 2007) obv. 28.<sup>27</sup>

Literature: unpublished (transcription on eBL)

#### **Analysis**

In terms of their function, a minority of the glosses and embedded variants discussed above are pronunciation glosses (nos. 111, 112 and 124) indicating the suitable reading of the cuneiform signs in the glossed expressions, while the majority are variant glosses containing semantic or orthographic variants of the glossed terms (nos. 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 120, 121, 122). These are usually representing alternatives to medicinal substances (nos. 114, 116, 117, 121) or liquids used in the preparation of the medicine (nos. 115, 120, 122). Thus, for example, in the case of 'alum aluharu-mineral' (no. 116), the alluharu is an alternative to alum; or in the case of 'fine oil filtered' (no. 120), the gloss filtered (oil) seems to be a variant of fine oil. Such medicinal substances and liquids formally presented as glosses or embedded variants may represent variants from different manuscripts of the text describing the same medical

<sup>27</sup> Bácskay 2021, 76-77 no. 39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Geller 2005, 112-113.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> For discussion of the semantic and orthographic variant glosses in medical texts, see Bácskay 2021, 168-172.

procedure or may refer to the interchangeability of certain medicinal substances used in the same medical procedure. Among the medical discussed references, glosses and embedded variants on the cuneiform tablets of the Nineveh Medical Encyclopaedia (NME) have a particular importance because the manuscripts for each chapter of the NME are the result of a careful text-editing process, and in many cases several manuscripts for a specific chapter can be examined, as well as textual parallels from other tablets.<sup>29</sup> The table below summarises the glosses and embedded variants preserved on the manuscripts of the NME.

Chapter and Tablet	Prescriptions	Number of Glosses
CRANIUM 1	BAM 480+ ii 61, ii 63 (Bácskay 2021	2 glosses
CRAMOWI	nos. 1, 2)	2 glosses
CRANIUM 2	AMT 19, 1+AMT 20, 1 iv 3'-6'	1 gloss
CRAMIUWI Z	Bácskay 2021 no. 4)	1 gloss
CRANIUM 3	K 4023 (AMT 104,1+AMT 105, 1) iv	1 embedded variant
CRAMOW 5	7'-9' (Bácskay 2021 no. 5)	1 chibedded variant
CRANIUM 4 or 5	BAM 494 ii 63, ii 73 (Bácskay 2021	1 gloss and 1 embedded
CRAMOW 4 of 3	nos. 7, 8)	variant
	BAM 499+(Simkó 2024a plate 7-8) iii	1 gloss
	1'-61' (Bácskay 2021 no. 34) <sup>30</sup>	1 gloss
	AMT 5, 1 i 5'-9' (Bácskay 2021 no.	1 embedded variant
	35)	1 chibedded variant
EYE 2	BAM 515 i 1-7, i 72', ii 28-29	3 glosses
ETE Z	(Bácskay 2021 nos. 10, 11, 12)	3 giosses
EYE 3	BAM 516 ii 8'-11', ii 19'-22' (Bácskay	2 glosses
LILJ	2021 nos. 13, 14)	2 giosses
EARS 1	BAM 503 ii 54'-57', iii 48', iv 20'-21'	2 glosses and 1 embedded
LAKS I	(Bácskay 2021 nos.16, 111, 17)	variant
NECK 2	BAM 471+ ii 46'-51', iii 12', iii 27', iii	4 glosses
NECK 2	32'-35' (no. 90) (Bácskay 2021 nos.	1 8103363
	88, 114, 89, 90)	
TEETH 1	BAM 538+ BAM 542 (Simkó 2024a	1 gloss
	plate 28-29) iii 13-16 (Bácskay 2021	l Bross
	no. 60)	
BRONCHIA 5	AMT 80,1+AMT 81, 1 i 1-3, i 29-30	2 glosses
Ditto i volimi i v	(Bácskay 2021 nos. 29, 30	2 8:0000
	BAM 548 i 1-3 (Bácskay 2021 no.	1 gloss
	29)	- 8
BRONCHIA 6?	BAM 555 ii 16-17 (Bácskay 2021 no.	1 gloss
	31)	- 8
	BAM 556 ii 71'-iii 9 (Bácskay 2021	1 gloss
	no. 32)	
BRONCHIA	AMT 51, 1 line 22'-27' (Bácskay 2021	1 gloss
	no. 84)	
	AMT 25, 3 obv. 1'-3' (Bácskay 2021	1 gloss

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> More recently, the editing process of the NME medical series has been investigated by Krisztián Simkó on the basis of the colophons of the medical tablets from Assurbanipal's library (Simkó 2024b).
<sup>30</sup>My transliteration and translation were corrected by Simkó on eBL.

	no. 98)	
	AMT 54, 1 (2) rev. 2'-4' Bácskay	1 gloss
	2021 no. 99)	
STOMACH 1	BAM 574+577 i 4-7, ii 32, ii 33-34, ii	6 glosses
	35-37, iii 41-42 (Bácskay 2021 nos.	
	19, 20, 21, 22, 23)	
STOMACH 2	BAM 575 iii 4-5, iii 10-11 (Bácskay	2 glosses
	2021 nos. 24, 25)	
STOMACH 3	BAM 578+AMT 58, 8 i 57, ii 2-5, iv	5 glosses
	38, iv 41 (Bácskay 2021 nos. 115, 27,	
	116, 117)	
STOMACH 5	BAM 579 i 55, iv 12-23 (Bácskay	2 glosses
	2021 nos. 26, 27)	
ANUS 4	BAM 7 No.24 Ms. Y ii 11-17	1 gloss
	(Bácskay 2021 no. 33)	
KIDNEY 2	BAM 7 No. 2 i 26, ii 16-17 (Bácskay	2 embedded variants
	2021 nos. 80, 81)	
HARMSTRING 3?	AMT 70, 7+ i 3'-8' (Bácskay 2021 no.	1 gloss and 1 embedded
	64)	variant
HARMSTRING 4?	AMT 73, 1+ i 25-29, i 65, iii 16, 18	2 glosses and 2 embedded
	(Bácskay 2021 nos. 65, 66, 67, 68)	variants
SKIN	BAM 580 i 1'-2', 3'-6', 7'-10', iii 12-14	4 glosses
	(Bácskay 2021 nos. 71, 72)	
	AMT 31, 7 ii 5'-6' (Bácskay 2021 no.	1 gloss
	104)	

Table 2: Glosses and embedded variants in the NME

The tablets belonging to various chapters or sub-series of the NME contain 49 glosses and 9 embedded variants. The manuscripts in all chapters, except only for two chapters (NOSEBLEED and EPIGASTIUM-ABDOMEN), include glosses and embedded variants. Most of the glosses and embedded variants can be identified in chapters CRANIUM (at least four out of five tables, for a total of 5 glosses and 3 embedded variants) and STOMACH (four out of five tables, for a total of 15 glosses). The highest number of glosses is found in the chapter STOMACH and we can find the highest number of glosses per tablet also in the same chapter (STOMACH 1 = 6 glosses and STOMACH 3 = 5 glosses). The high proportion of glosses in the CRANIUM and STOMACH tables may suggests that the largest number of text variants may have been available for the treatment of diseases related to head complaint and gastrointestinal diseases.

Glosses and embedded variants appear occasionally in the text of the NME and they were not copied in all the manuscripts of the same medical tablet belonging to the NME. The only exception known to me is the two manuscripts of BRONCHIA 5, in which the same gloss is repeated in the same prescription: [...] GAZI<sup>sar</sup> haš-la-a-ti: qa-la-ti (AMT 80,1+AMT 81, 1 i 2,) and GAZI<sup>sar</sup> haš-la-a-ti: qa-lu-ti (BAM 548 i 1-3). The minor difference between the glosses

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Bácskay 2021 2021, 64 (no. 29).

on the two manuscripts may be explained by the fact that they were the product of two different scribes who did not use the same parallel texts to edit BRONCHIA 5.

Like other medical texts, most of these scribal remarks in the NME are glosses, while the number of embedded variants is small. However, the two methods are also found in the same tablets of the NME,<sup>32</sup> it is not yet clear why the author or the copyist of these tablets present both methods to the textual variants. This phenomenon is further complicated by the fact that among the Nineveh text parallels of the NME manuscripts containing glosses, there are also texts in which the gloss in the NME manuscript appears as an embedded variant. Indeed, the gloss preserved in one of the manuscripts containing the text of NECK 2 (BAM 471+) appears as an embedded variant on the BAM 469 plate: \(^{\text{TMUN}^{\text{T}}}\) eme-sal-li\(^{\text{E}}\)] MUN \(\text{kii-pad}\) (BAM 471+ iii 12') and MUN \(\text{eme-sal-li}\): M[UN \(\text{kii-pad}\)] (BAM 469 obv. 41'). The texts of the two tablets show orthographic and textual differences, so that it cannot be stated with certainty that the \(\text{kupad}\)-salt, either as a gloss or as an embedded variant, refers to a textual variant from the same tablet.

Below I have collected references to the glosses in two recent volumes of the BAM which also discussed glosses and embedded variants edited in my book before. The NME Medical Series on Eye Diseases (EYE) was published by Markham Geller and Strahil Panayotov in Volume 10 of the BAM series, which mentions all the glosses I have previously discussed.

Text	Bácskay 2021	Geller-Panayotov BAM 10
BAM 515 i 5	no. 10 line 5, p. 42	IGI 2 line 5, p. 108 <sup>33</sup>
BAM 515 i 72'	no.12 line 2, p.	IGI 2 line 101', p. 132
BAM 516 ii 9'	no. 13 line 2, p. 45	IGI 3 line 52', p. 166 <sup>34</sup>
BAM 516 ii 19'	no. 14 line 1, p. 46	IGI 3 line 62', p. 167 <sup>35</sup>

The NME Stomach Disorders Medical Series (STOMACH) was published by Cale Johnson and Krisztián Simkó in Volume 11 of the BAM series, which mentions all the glosses I have previously discussed and identifies additional glosses not included in my book (see Table 1)

Text	Bácskay 2021	Johnson-Simkó BAM 11
BAM 574+ i 6	no. 19 line 3, p. 53-54	STO 2 line 6, p. 60
BAM 574+ ii 32	no. 20 line 1, p. 54	STO 1 line 97, p. 78
BAM 574+ ii 33	no. 21 line 1, p. 55	STO 1 line 98 p. 78 <sup>36</sup>
BAM 574+ ii 37	no. 22 line 3, p. 56	STO 1 line 102, p. 79
BAM 574+ iii 41	no. 23 line 2, p. 57	STO 1 line 172, p. 92 <sup>37</sup>
BAM 575 iii 5	no. 24 line 2, p. 58	STO 2 line 140, p. 131 <sup>38</sup>

 $<sup>^{32}</sup>$  BAM 494 ii 63, ii 73 (Bácskay 2021 nos. 7, 8) or BAM 503 ii 54'-57', iii 48', iv 20'-21' (Bácskay 2021 nos.16, 111, 17) or AMT 70, 7+ i 3'-8' (Bácskay 2021 no. 64) or AMT 73+ i 25-29, i 65 , iii 16, 18 (Bácskay 2021 nos. 65, 66, 67, 68).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> For the interpretation of the gloss, see Bácskay 2021, 43 and Geller-Panayotov 2020, 272.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> For the interpretation of the gloss, see Bácskay 2021, 46, 158 and Geller-Panayotov 2020, 288.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> For the interpretation of the gloss, see Bácskay 2021, 46-47, 172 and Geller-Panayotov 2020, 288.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> For the interpretation of the gloss, see Bácskay 2021, 56, 162 and Johnson-Simkó 2024, 78 fn. 196 and 197.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> For the interpretation of the gloss, see Bácskay 2021, 57-58 and Johnson-Simkó 2024, 92 fn. 241.

BAM 575 iii 10	no. 25 line 1, p. 59	STO 2 line 145, p. 132
BAM 578+ ii 4 and 5	no. 27 line 1, p. 59	STO 3 lines 74 and 75, p.
		175 and 176
BAM 579 i 55	no. 26 line 1, p. 60	STO 5 line 55, p. 235 <sup>39</sup>
BAM 579 iv 15	no. 28 line 3, p. 62	STO 5 line 207', p. 247

#### **Bibliography**

**Bácskay, A 2023**: Therapeutic Prescriptions and Magical-Medical Rituals against Fever: Edition of a Late Babylonian Tablet BM 55516+, *Journal of Cuneiform Studies 75*, 153-167.

**Bácskay, A. 2021**: *Glosses and Embedded Variants in Therapeutic Texts*, DUBSAR 22, Münster: Zaphon.

**Bácskay, A 2018**: *Therapeutic Prescriptions against Fever in Ancient Mesopotamia*, Alter Orient und Altes Testament 447, Münster: Ugarit.

**Bácskay**, **A.** – **Niederreiter**, **Z. 2022**: Clay clindrical amulets inscribed with incantations, tools for medical-magical rituals, *Le Journal des Médecines Cunéiformes* 40, 1-41.

**Finkel, I. 2018**: Amulets against Fever, in S. Panayotov, L. Vacin (eds.), *Mesopotamian Medicine and Magic: Studies in Honour of Markham J. Geller*, Ancient Magic and Divination 14, Leiden/Boston: Brill, 232-271. **Geller, M.J. 2005**: Renal and Rectal Disease Texts, Die babylonisch-assyrische Medizin in Texten und Untersuchungen 7, Berlin/New York: de Gruyter.

**Geller, M.J. 2007**: Textes médicaux du Louvre, nouvelle édition. AO 11447, AO 7760 et AO 6674. Première partie, *Le Journal des Médecines Cunéiformes* 10, 4–18.

Geller M. J., Panayotov S. V. 2020. Mesopotamian Eye Disease Texts: The Nineveh Treatise, Die babylonisch-assyrische Medizin in Texten und Untersuchungen 10, Berlin/Boston: de Gruyter.

**Heeßel N. P. 2000.** *Babylonisch-assyrische Diagnostik*, Alter Orient und Altes Testament 43, Münster: Ugarit.

**Johnson, C.J. – Simkó, K. 2024**: *Gastrointestinal Disease and its Treatment in Ancient Mesopotamia: The Nineveh Treatise*, Die babylonisch-assyrische Medizin in Texten und Untersuchungen 11, Berlin/Boston: de Gruyter.

**Parys, M. 2014**: Édition d'un texte médical thérapeutique retrouvé à Assur (BAM 159), *Le Journal des Médecines Cunéiformes* 23, 1-88.

**Scurlock J. A. 2006.** *Magico-Medical Means of Treating Ghost-Induced Illnesses in Ancient Mesopotamia*, Ancient Magic and Divination 3, Leiden/Boston: Brill.

Scurlock J. A. 2014. Sourcebook for Ancient Mesopotamian Medicine, Writings from the Ancient World 36, Atlanta (GA): SBL.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> For the interpretation of the gloss, see Bácskay 2021, 58-59 and Johnson-Simkó 2024, 131 fn. 361.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Johnson and Simkó corrected my transliteration and they interpreted this gloss as *he-pi*.

Schuster-Brandis A. 2008. Steine als Schutz- und Heilmittel. Untersuchung zu ihrer Verwendung in der Beschwörungskunst Mesopotamiens im 1. Jt. v. Chr., Alter Orient und Altes Testament 64, Münster: Ugarit.

**Simkó, K. 2024a**: "Catalogue of Campbell Thompson's Assyrian Medical Texts (1923-2024)." *Cuneiform Digital Library Preprints* 2024 (26.0). <a href="https://cdli.mpiwg-berlin.mpg.de/articles/cdlp/26.0">https://cdli.mpiwg-berlin.mpg.de/articles/cdlp/26.0</a>.

Simkó, K. 2024b: "Weaving Together Loose Threads'. On the Serialisation of the Therapeutic Corpus at Nineveh". *KASKAL. Rivista di storia, ambienti e culture del Vicino Oriente Antico*, n.s., 1, 283-310.

**Schwemer, D. 2010**: Therapeutische Texte aus Ḥattuša und Emar, in Janowski B. – Schwemer, D. (eds.): *Texte zur Heilkunde*. Texte aus der Umwelt des Alten Testaments, Neue Folge 5, Gütersloh: Gütersloher Verlaghaus, 38-45.

**Stadhouders, H. – Johnson, C.J. 2018**: "A Time to Extract and a Time to Compile: The Therapeutic Compendium Tablet BM 78963", in S. Panayotov, L. Vacin (eds.), *Mesopotamian Medicine and Magic: Studies in Honour of Markham J. Geller*, Ancient Magic and Divination 14, Leiden/Boston: Brill, 556–622.

**Stol, M. 2018**: Review of *Therapeutic Prescriptions against Fever in Ancient Mesopotamia*, by A. Bácskay. *BiOr* 75: 344-351.

**Tsukimoto, A. 1999**: "By the Hand of Madi-Dagan, the Scribe and *Apkallu*-Priest" - A Medical Text from the Middle Euphrates Region, in Watanabe, K. (ed.): *Priest and Officials In the Ancient Near East*. Heidelberg: C. Winter, 188-189.

Weiher E. von 1983: *Spätbabylonische Texte aus Uruk, Teil 2*, Ausgrabungen in Uruk-Warka 10, Berlin: Gebr. Mann.